1	EILEEN M. DECKER					
2	United States Attorney DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN					
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division					
4	ROBYN-MARIE LYON MONTELEONE Assistant United States Attorney					
5	Chief, General Civil Section JUSTIN A. OKUN (Cal. Bar No. 230954)					
6	Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building, Suite 7516					
7	300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012					
8	Telephone: (213) 894-7354 Facsimile: (213) 894-7819					
9	E-mail: Justin.okun@usdoj.gov					
10	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	EASTERN DIVISION					
14	ABDUL R. D. SALEM,	No. ED CV 15-02091 JGB (SPx)				
15	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S				
16	v.	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT				
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,					
18	Defendants.	Honorable Jesus G. Bernal				
19	Borondants.	United States District Judge				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1

Defendant United States of America ("Defendant") by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby responds to the Third Amended Complaint ("TAC") filed by plaintiff Abdul R. D. Salem ("Plaintiff") on January 4, 2017, as follows:

4

INTRODUCTION

- 5 6 7
- 8 9

paragraph 1.

- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

- No response is required as to the allegations containing legal conclusions.
- 1. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding Plaintiff's age and citizenship, and on that basis denies them. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was restrained, searched and detained by U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Officers. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in
- 2. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was selected by a CBP Officer to answer routine outbound examination questions; Plaintiff was detained after he became aggressive; contraband was not found in Plaintiff's carry-on bags or checked luggage; Plaintiff was not charged with an offense; and Plaintiff was seen by paramedics. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 2.
- 3. The allegations in paragraph 3 contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The allegations in paragraph 4 contain jurisdictional allegations, to which no response is required.
- 5. The allegations in paragraph 5 contain jurisdictional allegations, to which no response is required.
- 6. Defendant admits that CBP received Plaintiff's administrative tort claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act on December 9, 2014, and CBP denied Plaintiff's tort claim on April 15, 2015. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 6, and on that basis denies them.
 - 7. The allegations in paragraph 7 contain legal conclusions, to which no

response is required.

2

1

3 4

as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8, and on that basis denies them.

5 6

7 10.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

PARTIES

- 8. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
- 9. The allegations in paragraph 9 contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required.
- Defendant admits that CBP Officers McKenrick, Rector, Flores, Newbold and Colmenero are, and were at the alleged time in question, CBP employees. Defendant denies that CBP Officer Newbold was present during the events alleged in the TAC. The remaining allegations in paragraph 10 contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required Defendants denies these allegations.
- 11. The allegations in paragraph 11 contain legal conclusions or Plaintiff's characterization of the action, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required Defendants denies these allegations.
- 12. The allegations in paragraph 12 are directed at other defendants, to which no response is required.
- 13. The allegations in paragraph 13 are directed at other defendants, to which no response is required.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief 14. as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 14, and on that basis denies them.
- 15. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 15, and on that basis denies them.
- 16. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 16, and on that basis denies them.
- 17. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was an outbound passenger on British Airlines Flight BA268 from Los Angeles International Airport to Cairo, Egypt on

4

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

22 23

21

24

25

26

27

28

- February 21, 2014. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 17, and on that basis denies them.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief 18. as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 18, and on that basis denies them.
- Defendant admits that Plaintiff was selected by CBP Officer Flores who 19. asked to inspect his passport. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 19, and on that basis denies them.
 - 20. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 20.
- 21. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 21, and on that basis denies them.
- Defendant admits that several CBP officers believed that Plaintiff intended 22. to physically assault CBP Officer Flores. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 22.
 - 23. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 23.
- 24. Defendant admits that CBP officers directed Plaintiff away from the aircraft to inspect his carry-on bags. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 24.
 - 25. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 25.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief 26. as to the truth of the allegations regarding the prior TSA searches, and on that basis denies them. Defendant admits that the search of Plaintiff's carry-on bags did not uncover contraband or illegal activity. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 26.
 - 27. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 27.
 - 28. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 28.
 - 29. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 29.

- 30. Defendant admits that CBP officers placed Plaintiff in handcuffs. Defendant is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding Plaintiff's flexibility and physical and emotional pain, and on that basis denies them. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph
- 31. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was placed in double handcuffs. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 31.
 - 32. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 32.
- 33. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was transported to a separate examination room for further inspection of his checked luggage. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 33.
- 34. Defendant admits that CBP officers inspected Plaintiff's checked luggage, and that the search of Plaintiff's checked luggage did not uncover contraband.
- Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 34.
- 35. Defendant admits that Plaintiff's handcuffs were removed, and Plaintiff's luggage returned. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was released at approximately 10:00 p.m. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 35.
- 36. Defendant admits that CBP contacted Emergency Medical Services (EMS). Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 36.
 - 37. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 37.
 - 38. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 38.
- 39. The allegations in paragraph 39 contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required.
- 40. The allegations in paragraph 40 contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. Defendant is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding the cited reports. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 40.
 - 41. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 41.

Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 42. 42. 1 Defendant is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief 2 43. 3 as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 43, and on that basis denies them. 44. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 44. 4 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 5 45. Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 44 6 above as if restated in full. 7 8 46. The allegations in paragraph 46 are directed at other defendants, to which no response is required. 9 Paragraph 47 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required. 10 47. 11 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 47 12 48. 13 above as if restated in full. 14 The allegations in paragraph 49 are directed at other defendants, to which 49. 15 no response is required. 16 50. The allegations in paragraph 50 are directed at other defendants, to which no response is required. 17 18 51. Paragraph 51 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 19 20 52. Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 51 above as if restated in full. 21 22 53. Paragraph 53 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required. 54. 23 The allegations in paragraph 54 are directed at other defendants, to which 24 no response is required. 25 The allegations in paragraph 55 are directed at other defendants, to which 55. no response is required. 26 27 The allegations in paragraph 56 are directed at other defendants, to which 56.

28

no response is required.

1	57.	Paragraph 57 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
2	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
3	58.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 57		
4	above as if restated in full.			
5	59.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 59.		
6	60.	Paragraph 60 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
7	61.	Paragraph 61 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
8		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	62.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 61		
10	above as if restated in full.			
11	63.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 63.		
12	64.	Paragraph 64 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
13	65.	Paragraph 65 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
14		SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
15	66.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 65		
16	above as if restated in full.			
17	67.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 67.		
18	68.	Paragraph 68 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
19		SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
20	69.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 68		
21	above as if restated in full.			
22	70.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 70.		
23	71.	Paragraph 71 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
24	72.	Paragraph 72 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.		
25		EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
26	73.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 72		
27	above as if restated in full.			
28	74.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 74.		

1	75.	Paragraph 75 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.			
2	76. Paragraph 76 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is require				
3	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
4	77.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 76			
5	above as if restated in full.				
6	78.	The allegations in paragraph 78 are directed at other defendants, to which			
7	no response is required.				
8	79.	Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 79.			
9	80.	Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 80.			
10	81.	Paragraph 81 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.			
11	82.	Paragraph 82 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.			
12	83.	The allegations in paragraph 83 are directed at other defendants, to which			
13	no response is required.				
۱4	84.	The allegations in paragraph 84 are directed at other defendants, to which			
15	no response is required.				
16		TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
١7	85.	Defendant reasserts its responses in the numbered paragraphs 1 through 84			
18	above as if restated in full.				
19	86.	The allegations in paragraph 86 are directed at other defendants, to which			
20	no response is required.				
21	87.	The allegations in paragraph 87 are directed at other defendants, to which			
22	no response is required.				
23	88.	The allegations in paragraph 88 are directed at other defendants, to which			
24	no response is required.				
25	89.	The allegations in paragraph 89 are directed at other defendants, to which			
26	no response is required.				
27	90.	Paragraph 90 contains legal conclusions, to which no response is required.			

Any allegations to which a response is deemed necessary and which have

28

91.

not been admitted, denied, or otherwise responded to herein, are hereby denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remainder of Plaintiff's TAC consists of Plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is deemed required, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff is barred from bringing any claim over which the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. To the extent that the TAC fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, Plaintiff cannot prevail.
- 3. To the extent that Plaintiff's claims are barred by 28 U.S.C. § 2680(a), Plaintiff cannot prevail.
- 4. In the event that the superseding and intervening negligence of Plaintiff or third parties broke any causal connection between Defendant's alleged negligence and Plaintiff's alleged injury, Defendant cannot be held liable.
- 5. In the event that the negligence of Plaintiff or third parties was the cause of or contributed to the injuries or damages sustained, any recovery by Plaintiff must be proportionately reduced.
- 6. To the extent that Plaintiff's alleged damages were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of parties other than Defendant, and should Defendant be found in any way liable in this matter, Defendant's liability for Plaintiff's non-economic damages should be allocated to Defendant in proportion to Defendant's percentage of fault, if any.
- 7. Any recovery or other award against Defendant must be reduced to the extent Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages.
- 8. Plaintiff's damages, if any, must be reduced by any collateral source as permitted by law.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2675(b), Plaintiff's damages, if any, are limited to the amount of the sum certain demanded in the administrative claim.

1	10.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2674, Plaintiff is not entitled to punitive damages or			
2	prejudgmen	prejudgment interest.			
3	11.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 267	4, Plaintiff's damages, if any, are restricted to		
4	damages all	amages allowable under state law.			
5	12.	Plaintiff's damages, if any, are limited by California Civil Code § 3333.4.			
6	13.	Defendant is entitled to an offset for all benefits paid to Plaintiff by any			
7	agency of the United States or through funds which are provided by the United States.				
8	14.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A), Plaintiff cannot recover attorneys'			
9	fees from Defendant in this action.				
10	15.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 267	8, attorneys' fees are to be taken out of any		
11	judgment or settlement and shall not exceed 25 per centum of that amount.				
12	16.	Plaintiff is not entitled to a j	ury trial against Defendant. 28 U.S.C. § 2402.		
13		WHEREFORE, Defendant 1	United States of America prays for:		
14	1.	dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint and a judgment entered in favor of			
15	Defendant;				
16	2.	an award of costs to Defend	ant; and		
17	3.	such other and further relief	as this Court may deem just and proper.		
18					
19	Dated: Jan	uary 18, 2017	Respectfully submitted,		
20			EILEEN M. DECKER United States Attorney		
21			DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN Assistant United States Attorney		
22			Chief, Civil Division ROBYN-MARIE LYON MONTELEONE		
2324			Assistant United States Attorney Chief, General Civil Section		
25			/s/ Justin A. Okun JUSTIN A. OKUN Assistant United States Attorney		
2627			Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		